

Fractional Schrödinger equation with singular data and potential

David Gómez-Castro

Instituto de Matemática Interdisciplinar,
U. Complutense de Madrid

Seminar at U. Federico II, Naples
January 22, 2019

We study equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} Lu + Vu = f & \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \text{ (resp. } \Omega^c), \end{cases} \quad (P_V)$$

where L is an integro-differential operator, e.g. $(-\Delta)^s$, posed on a bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^n , where $n \geq 3$ and $0 < s < 1$.

V (the potential) is a nonnegative Borel measurable function. It may be singular.

f is some function or measure. We aim to study the singular cases. The results correspond to the publications

J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, and J. L. Vázquez. “The fractional Schrödinger equation with general nonnegative potentials. The weighted space approach”. *Nonlinear Analysis* (2018), pp. 1–36. arXiv: 1804.08398

Structure of the talk

- 1 Fractional operators
- 2 The Laplace equation ($V = 0$)
- 3 Problem (P_V) for $V \in L^1$
- 4 Data measures
- 5 Potentials singular at interior points
- 6 Singular potentials at the boundary: $V \in L^1_{loc}$. The RFL

- 1 Fractional operators
- 2 The Laplace equation ($V = 0$)
- 3 Problem (P_V) for $V \in L^1$
- 4 Data measures
- 5 Potentials singular at interior points
- 6 Singular potentials at the boundary: $V \in L^1_{loc}$. The RFL

Fractional Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n

Let $0 < s < 1$. The following definitions are equivalent:

- Fourier transform \mathcal{F} , with simbol $|\xi|^{2s}$:

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [|\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}[u]] \quad (1a)$$

- As a singular integral

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = c_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy \quad (1b)$$

- Through the heat semigroup

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^{+\infty} (e^{\Delta t} u(x) - u(x)) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}} \quad (1c)$$

Probabilistically, where $-\Delta$ correspond to Brownian motion, $(-\Delta)^s$ corresponds to Levy flights.

Clearly $(-\Delta)^1 = -\Delta$ and $(-\Delta)^0 = Id$.

The fractional Laplacians on bounded domains

The following non-equivalent definitions on bounded domains are common:

- The **Restricted** Fractional Laplacian (RFL):

$$(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s u(x) = c_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy \quad (2)$$

where u is extended by 0 outside Ω

- The **Spectral** Fractional Laplacian (SFL)

$$(-\Delta)_{\text{SFL}}^s u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^{+\infty} (e^{t\Delta} u(x) - u(x)) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}} \quad (3)$$

This corresponds to computing the spectral decomposition and defining

$$(-\Delta)_{\text{SFL}}^s u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} u_i \lambda_i^s \varphi_i.$$

- The **Censored** (or Regional) Fractional Laplacian (CFL), for $1/2 < s < 1$:

$$(-\Delta)_{\text{CFL}}^s u(x) = c_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy \quad (4)$$

- 1 Fractional operators
- 2 The Laplace equation ($V = 0$)
- 3 Problem (P_V) for $V \in L^1$
- 4 Data measures
- 5 Potentials singular at interior points
- 6 Singular potentials at the boundary: $V \in L^1_{loc}$. The RFL

The Laplace problem

Homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad L = -\Delta, (-\Delta)_{\text{SFL}}^s, (-\Delta)_{\text{CFL}}^s \quad (\text{P}_0)$$

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \Omega^c \end{cases} \quad L = (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \quad (\text{P}_0)$$

For the operators above and $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists a unique classical solution $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ (i.e. satisfying the problem pointwise).

This solution can be represented as

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{G}(x, y) f(y) dy. \quad (\text{G})$$

We define the solution operator

$$\mathbb{G} : f \longmapsto u. \quad (5)$$

Existence of solutions in energy spaces. Weak solutions

The operators in the example satisfy a theory similar to the classical.

- **RFL:** We can write a weak formulation, for $f \in L^2$ find $u \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^s(\Omega)$$

- **CFL:** We can write a weak formulation, for $f \in L^2$ find $u \in H_0^s(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^s(\Omega)$$

- **SFL:** Write $f \in L^2$ in eigen-decomposition

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} f_i \varphi_i \quad \mapsto \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} f_i \lambda_i^{-s} \varphi_i.$$

Problems for the RFL and CFL can be solved by minimization of energy. Also by using the standard Lax-Milgram theorem.

For fractional sobolev spaces: [Di Nezza, Palatucci, and Valdinoci 2012](#).

Kernel representation

We assume that L is an operator such that, for $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\mathbb{G}(f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{G}(x, y) f(y) dy. \quad (\text{G})$$

such that \mathbb{G} satisfies properties:

(i) \mathbb{G} is symmetric and self-adjoint in the sense that

$$\mathbb{G}(x, y) = \mathbb{G}(y, x). \quad (\text{G1})$$

(ii) We assume $n \geq 3$ and we have the estimate

$$\mathbb{G}(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2s}} \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x - y|^2} \wedge 1 \right)^\gamma. \quad (\text{G2})$$

The examples covered by our theory

The elliptic problem has been widely studied, specially for data $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Also, two-sided kernel estimates are known:

- **The classical Laplacian $-\Delta$:**

(G2) holds with $s = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$.

- **Restricted Fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s$:**

(G2) holds with $0 < s < 1$ and $\gamma = s$. See [Chen and Song 1998](#).

- **Spectral Fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)_{\text{SFL}}^s$:**

(G2) holds with $0 < s < 1$ and $\gamma = 1$.

See [Bonforte, Figalli, and Vázquez 2018](#).

- **Censored Fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)_{\text{CFL}}^s$:**

(G2) holds with $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ and $\gamma = 2s - 1$. See [Chen, Kim, and Song 2009](#).

Weak and weak-dual formulations

Since L is self-adjoint, we can define very weak solutions

$$\int_{\Omega} uL\varphi = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in ? \quad (6)$$

Different operators require different sets of test functions. Some authors use weak solutions for the RFL [Chen and Véron 2014](#); [Díaz, Gómez-Castro, and Vázquez 2018](#).

Letting $\varphi = G(\psi)$ we can simply write

$$\int_{\Omega} u\psi = \int_{\Omega} fG(\psi) \quad \forall \psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \quad (\text{P}_0\text{-WD})$$

This kind of solutions are known as *weak-dual solutions*.

Since L is self-adjoint, G is self-adjoint and so

$$\int_{\Omega} G(f)\psi = \int_{\Omega} fG(\psi), \quad \forall f, \psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \quad (7)$$

Hence, classical solutions for $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ are weak-dual solutions.

Theorem

Assume (G2). Then, we have that:

- For $K \Subset \Omega$: $G(\chi_K) \asymp \delta^\gamma$
- For $A \subset \Omega$: we have $|G(\chi_A)| \leq C|A|^\beta$, for any $\beta < \frac{2s}{n}$, where $C = C(\beta)$.

From now on we assume (G1)–(G2).

Uniqueness of weak-dual solutions

Theorem

Let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then there exists, at most, one solution $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ of

$$\int_{\Omega} u\psi = \int_{\Omega} fG(\psi) \quad \forall \psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \quad (\text{P}_0\text{-WD})$$

Proof.

Let $u_1, u_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ be two solutions. Taking $\psi = \text{sign}(u_1 - u_2) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we deduce

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2| = \int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2) \text{sign}(u_1 - u_2) = \int_{\Omega} (f - f)G(\text{sign}(u_1 - u_2)) = 0. \quad (8)$$

□

Remark

From the positive cone to the whole space

Lemma

Let $p, q > 1$ be two normed function spaces, and let $T : L^p \rightarrow L^q$ be linear and continuous. If

$$\|T(f)\|_{L^q} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}, \quad \forall 0 \leq f \in X$$

Then the same holds for any $f \in X$.

Proof.

Let $f \in X$. We split $f = f_+ + f_-$. Then

$$\|T(f)\|_q = \|T(f_+) - T(f_-)\|_q \leq \|T(f_+)\|_q + \|T(f_-)\|_q \leq C\|f_+\|_p + C\|f_-\|_p =$$

(9)



Theorem

$$f \in L^p(\Omega) \implies G(f) \in L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall 1 \leq q < Q(p) = \frac{n}{n-2s}p.$$

Furthermore $G : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is continuous.

Proof.

The $L^1(\Omega)$ and $L^\infty(\Omega)$ result follow by direct computation.
The intermediate case by Riesz-Thorin lemma. □

The aim of this section is to prove that

Theorem

We have that, for any $0 < \beta < \frac{2s}{n}$

$$\int_A |G(f)| \leq C|A|^\beta \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (10)$$

for some $C > 0$.

Hence, if $f_n \in L^1(\Omega)$ is a bounded sequence, then $G(f_n)$ is uniformly integrable.

In particular, there exists a weakly convergent subsequence $G(f_{n_k}) \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Extension to L^1

Through duality and approximation we prove that:

Theorem

Let G satisfy (G1)–(G2). Then, there exists an extension

$$G : L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega). \quad (11)$$

which is linear and continuous.

Furthermore, this extension is unique and self-adjoint.

The function $u = G(f)$ is the unique function such that $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u\psi = \int_{\Omega} G(\psi)f. \quad (\text{P}_0\text{-WD})$$

This solution can be represented as

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{G}(x, y)f(y)dy. \quad (12)$$

Optimal set of data f

Due to the duality, it is easy to check that

$$\int_{\Omega} G(f) = \int_{\Omega} fG(1).$$

Therefore

$$G : L^1(\Omega, G(1)) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, for $K \Subset \Omega$,

$$\int_K G(f) = \int_{\Omega} fG(\chi_K).$$

For this operators $G(\chi_K) \asymp \delta^\gamma$, and hence

$$G : L^1(\Omega, \delta^\gamma) \rightarrow L^1_{loc}(\Omega).$$

For $f \geq 0$ we have, due to (G2)

$$G(f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{G}(x, y)f(y)dy \geq c\delta(x)^\gamma \int_{\Omega} f(y)\delta(y)^\gamma$$

If $f\delta^\gamma \notin L^1 \implies G(f) \equiv +\infty$.

Outline

- 1 Fractional operators
- 2 The Laplace equation ($V = 0$)
- 3 Problem (P_V) for $V \in L^1$**
- 4 Data measures
- 5 Potentials singular at interior points
- 6 Singular potentials at the boundary: $V \in L^1_{loc}$. The RFL

Back to the Schrödinger problem

We can rewrite

$$\begin{cases} Lu + Vu = f & \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \text{ (resp. } \Omega^c), \end{cases} \quad (P_V)$$

We write the problem as a fixed point:

$$u = G(f - Vu) \quad (P_V\text{-D})$$

We call this *dual formulation*.

This is equivalent to the *weak-dual formulation*

$$\int_{\Omega} u\psi + \int_{\Omega} VuG(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} fG(\psi) \quad \forall \psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega). \quad (P_V\text{-WD})$$

Existence for $(f, V) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L_+^\infty(\Omega)$

Here we show the following

Theorem

Let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $V \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a solution u of $(P_V\text{-D})$ and it satisfies

$$|u| \leq G(|f|)$$

Furthermore,

$$f \geq 0 \implies u \geq 0.$$

We have

$$|G_V(f)| \leq G(|f|). \tag{13}$$

Proof for $f \geq 0$.

We construct the following sequence. $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = G(f) \geq 0$,

$$u_2 = G\left(\left(f - Vu_1\right)_+\right), \quad u_i = G(f - Vu_{i-1}), \quad i > 2. \quad (14)$$

Step a. We prove that

$$u_0 \leq u_2 \leq u_3 \leq u_1. \quad (15)$$

Step b. We show, by induction, that

$$u_{2i} \leq u_{2i+2} \leq u_{2i+3} \leq u_{2i+1}, \quad \forall i \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

Step c. By the monotone convergence theorem $u_{2i} \nearrow \underline{u}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ where $u_{2i+1} \searrow \bar{u}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. We have

$$\bar{u} = G(f - V\underline{u}), \quad \underline{u} = G(f - V\bar{u}). \quad (17)$$

Therefore $u = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{u} + \bar{u})$ is a solution of (P_V-D) . □

Uniqueness for $(f, V) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}_+(\Omega)$

In order to prove uniqueness we to assume

(iii) Furthermore, we need positivity in the sense that

$$\int_{\Omega} fG(f) \geq 0 \quad \forall f \in L^2(\Omega) \quad (\text{G3})$$

This is true for the examples.

Theorem

$V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. There exists at most one solution $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ of $(P_V\text{-D})$.

Proof.

The difference of two solutions $u = u_1 - u_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfies $u = -G(Vu)$. We have that $Vu^2 = -VuG(Vu) \in L^2(\Omega)$. We deduce

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} Vu^2 = - \int_{\Omega} VuG(Vu) \underbrace{\leq}_{(\text{G3})} 0.$$

Hence $Vu^2 = 0$ so $u = -G(0) = 0$

Corollary

Let $V \in L^{\infty}_+(\Omega)$. We consider the solution operator

$$G_V : f \in L^1(\Omega) \mapsto u \in L^1(\Omega),$$

where u is the unique solution of $u = G(f - Vu)$. It is well-defined, linear and continuous.

Corollary

We have, for any $0 \leq \beta < 2s/n$,

$$\int_A |G_V(f)| \leq C|A|^\beta \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^1(\Omega).$$

where $C = C(\beta)$.

In particular, $f_n \in L^1(\Omega)$ bounded $\implies G(f_n)$ uniformly integrable.

Notion of solution when $V \notin L_+^\infty$

In order for $(P_V\text{-D})$ to be well defined, we need to require something extra.
We extend the definition by setting that Vu in the admissible class

$$\begin{cases} u = G(f - Vu) \\ Vu \in L^1(\Omega, \delta^\gamma) \end{cases} \quad (P_V\text{-D})$$

Theorem

For any dual solution we have that:

$$\int_\Omega |u| \leq C \int_\Omega |f| \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega V|u|\delta^\gamma \leq C \int_\Omega |f|\delta^\gamma. \quad (18)$$

where C does not depend on V, f .

Proof in the case $f \geq 0$.

Setting $\psi = 1$ we get, since

$$\int_\Omega u + \int_\Omega VuG(1) \leq \|G(1)\|_\infty \int_\Omega f.$$

Taking $\psi = \chi_K$ for any $K \Subset \Omega$, since $G(\chi_K) \asymp \delta^\gamma$:

$$\int_K u + \int_\Omega Vu\delta^\gamma \leq C \int_\Omega f\delta^\gamma.$$

□

Theorem

Assume $|\{V = +\infty\}| = 0$.

There exists, at most, one solution $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ of (P_V-D) .

Proof.

Let $u_1, u_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ be two solutions. Then $u = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies $u = -G(Vu)$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $V_k = V \wedge k \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$.

We write

$$u = G((V_k - V)u - V_k u) = G(f_k - V_k u) \quad (19)$$

where $f_k = (V_k - V)u \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Hence, due to Theorem 3.2, u is the unique solution of $u + G(V_k u) = G(f_k)$ and

$$\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|f_k\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$|f_k| = |(V - V_k)u| \leq |V - V_k||u| \leq V|u| \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Then

$$V_k \rightarrow V \text{ a.e.} \implies f_k = (V_k - V)u \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e.} \xrightarrow{DCT} f_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Existence for $(f, V) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1_+(\Omega)$

Theorem

If $(f, V) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1_+(\Omega)$, there exists a solution.

Lemma (Monotonicity)

If $V_1 \leq V_2$ and $f_1 \geq f_2$ then $G_{V_1}(f_1) \geq G_{V_2}(f_2)$.

Proof for $f \geq 0$.

We define

$$V_k = V \wedge k, \quad f_m = f \wedge m.$$

We define $u_{k,m} = G_{V_k}(f_m) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let $U_m = G(f_m) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Clearly $u_{k,m} \leq U_m$

Step a. $k \rightarrow +\infty$. $V_k \nearrow \implies 0 \leq u_{k,m} \searrow \xrightarrow{\text{MCT}} u_{k,m} \rightarrow u_m$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. On the other hand

$$\begin{cases} V_k u_{k,m} \leq V U_m \in L^1(\Omega) \\ V_k u_{k,m} \rightarrow V U_m \text{ a.e. } \Omega \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\text{DCT}} V_k u_{k,m} \rightarrow V U_m \text{ in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (21)$$

Hence

$$u_m = \lim_k u_{k,m} = \lim_k G(f_m - V_k u_{k,m}) = G(f_m - V u_m) \quad (22)$$

and u_m is the solution corresponding to (f_m, V) . □

Proof (cont.)

We define

$$V_k = V \wedge k, \quad f_m = f \wedge m. \quad (23)$$

We define $u_{k,m} = G_{V_k}(f_m) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let $U_m = G(f_m) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Step a. $k \rightarrow +\infty$. As $V_k \nearrow V$ we have $u_{k,m} \searrow u_m = G(f_m - Vu_m)$.

Step b. $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $f_m \nearrow \implies u_m \nearrow$.

$$\int_{\Omega} u_m \leq C \xrightarrow{\text{MCT}} u_m \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Analogously $Vu_m \delta^\gamma \nearrow Vu \delta^\gamma$ in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Furthermore $u_m = G(f_m - V_m u_m) \rightarrow G(f - Vu)$. □

- 1 Fractional operators
- 2 The Laplace equation ($V = 0$)
- 3 Problem (P_V) for $V \in L^1$
- 4 Data measures**
- 5 Potentials singular at interior points
- 6 Singular potentials at the boundary: $V \in L^1_{loc}$. The RFL

Regularization and measure data

(iv) Assume G is regularizing in the sense that

$$G : L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (\text{G4})$$

Then

Theorem

Let G satisfy (G1)–(G4). Then, there exists a extension

$$G : \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega).$$

which is linear and continuous. Furthermore, this extension is unique and self-adjoint. The function $u = G(\mu)$ is the unique function such that $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u\psi = \int_{\Omega} G(\psi)d\mu.$$

This solution can be represented as

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{G}(x, y)d\mu(y).$$

- $-\Delta$: (G4) is a classical result. See, e.g., [Evans 1998](#); [Gilbarg and Trudinger 2001](#).
- $(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s$: (G4) is proven via Hörmander theory. See, e.g. [Grubb 2015](#); [Ros-Oton and Serra 2014](#).
- $(-\Delta)_{\text{SFL}}^s$: (G4) can be found in [Caffarelli and Stinga 2016](#).

Outline

- 1 Fractional operators
- 2 The Laplace equation ($V = 0$)
- 3 Problem (P_V) for $V \in L^1$
- 4 Data measures
- 5 Potentials singular at interior points**
- 6 Singular potentials at the boundary: $V \in L^1_{loc}$. The RFL

We prove the following, where δ_x is the Dirac measure at $x \in \Omega$,

Theorem

Assume that $V \geq 0$ such that

$$V : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty] \text{ is measurable and } L^\infty(\Omega \setminus B_\rho(S)) \text{ for all } \rho > 0, \quad (\text{V1})$$

for a finite set S , and let $\mu \geq 0$ be a nonnegative Radon measure. Then, there exist an integrable function $\underline{u} \geq 0$ and constants $(\alpha_\mu^x)_{x \in S} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:

- i) $G_{V_k}(\mu) = u_k \searrow \underline{u}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$
- ii) $V_k u_k \rightarrow V \underline{u}$ in $L^1(\Omega \setminus B_\rho(S), \delta^\gamma)$ for any $\rho > 0$
- iii) $V_k u_k \rightharpoonup V \underline{u} + \sum_{x \in S} \alpha_\mu^x \delta_x$ weakly in $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \delta^\gamma)$.
- iv) The limit satisfies the equation, for the reduced measure $\mu_r = \mu - \sum_{x \in S} \alpha_\mu^x \delta_x$.

$$\underline{u} + G(V \underline{u}) = G(\mu_r), \quad (24)$$

If a solution exists it is the limit

It is easy to prove, that :

Lemma

If there exists $u = G(\mu - Vu)$, then $u = \underline{u}$.

Proof.

Assume $f \geq 0$. Let $w_k = u_k - u$. Then

$$w_k = G(\mu - V_k u_k) - G(\mu - Vu) \quad (25)$$

$$= G(Vu - V_k u_k) \quad (26)$$

$$= G(\underbrace{(V - V_k)u - V_k w_k}_{f_k}) \quad (27)$$

Hence $\|w_k\|_{L^1} \leq C\|(V - V_k)u\|_{L^1}$.

Via MCT $(V - V_k)u \searrow 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. Hence

$$w_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (28)$$

In this case we have

$$(\delta_x)_r = (1 - \alpha^x)\delta_x \quad (29)$$

There are only two options

- 1 $(1 - \alpha^x) \neq 0$. Then $\frac{\underline{u}}{1 - \alpha^x}$ is a solution. Then, it is easy to prove that $\alpha^x = 0$.
- 2 $1 - \alpha^x = 0$. By uniqueness, then $\underline{u} = 0$.

There exists a set

$$Z = \{x \in \Omega : \text{there is no solution of } (P_V) \text{ with data } \delta_x\} \subset S. \quad (30)$$

Necessary and sufficient condition for existence

Through scaling, one can characterize

$$\mu_r = \mu - \sum_{x \in Z} \mu(\{x\}) \delta_x. \quad (31)$$

where, we recall

$$Z = \{x \in \Omega : \text{there is no solution of } (P_V) \text{ with data } \delta_x\}. \quad (32)$$

One can prove that

Theorem

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$.

There exists a dual solution of (P_V) with data $\mu \iff |\mu|(Z) = 0$.

This is compatible for the results for the usual laplacian given in [Orsina and Ponce 2018](#).

The CSOLA operator

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}_V : \mathcal{M}(\Omega) &\longrightarrow L^1(\Omega) \\ \mu &\longmapsto G_V(\mu_r).\end{aligned}$$

Then \tilde{G}_V is the unique self-adjoint extension of G_V to $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$. This operator admits a kernel representation

$$\begin{aligned}G_V(f)(x) &= \langle G_V(f), \delta_x \rangle = \langle \tilde{G}_V(f), \delta_x \rangle = \langle f, G_V(\delta_x) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}_V(\delta_x)(y) f(y) dy.\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathbb{G}_V(x, y) = \tilde{G}_V(\delta_x)(y). \tag{33}$$

The maximum principle

Notice that, amongst the previous computation, we showed that, for $x \in \Omega$,

$$G_V(f)(x) = \langle f, \tilde{G}_V(\delta_x) \rangle$$

We also recall that

$$\tilde{G}_V(\delta_x) = G_V((\delta_x)_r) = 0 \quad \forall x \in Z.$$

But then,

$$G(f)(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in Z.$$

No maximum principle on Z .

For the usual laplacian: [Orsina and Ponce 2018](#).

Theorem

Assume (V1). Then

$$x \notin Z \iff \int_{B_\rho(x)} \frac{V(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} dy < +\infty. \text{ for some } \rho > 0. \quad (34)$$

In particular, $Z \subset S$. The second condition is $VG(\delta_0) \in L^1(B_\rho(x))$.

Proof of \Leftarrow .

We may take $x = 0$ for convenience. Let $U = G(\delta_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

(i) Assume first $VU \in L^1(\Omega)$. Approximate by $u_k = G_{V_k}(\delta_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} V_k u_k \leq VU \in L^1(B_\rho(x)) &\stackrel{\text{DCT}}{\implies} V_k u_k \rightarrow V\underline{u} \in L^1(B_\rho(x)) \implies \alpha_\mu^0 = 0 \\ &\implies (\delta_0)_r = \delta_0 \\ &\implies \text{There exists a solution for } \mu = \delta_0 \\ &\implies 0 \notin Z \end{aligned}$$

- 1 Fractional operators
- 2 The Laplace equation ($V = 0$)
- 3 Problem (P_V) for $V \in L^1$
- 4 Data measures
- 5 Potentials singular at interior points
- 6 Singular potentials at the boundary: $V \in L^1_{loc}$. The RFL

In this section we discuss the results in

J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, and J. L. Vázquez. “The fractional Schrödinger equation with general nonnegative potentials. The weighted space approach”. *Nonlinear Analysis* (2018), pp. 1–36. arXiv: 1804.08398

We study the case of the RFL. The aim is to extend previous results for the classical case given in

J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, J.-M. Rakotoson, and R. Temam. “Linear diffusion with singular absorption potential and/or unbounded convective flow: The weighted space approach”. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 38.2 (2018), pp. 509–546. arXiv: 1710.07048

J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, and J.-M. Rakotoson. “Existence and uniqueness of solutions of Schrödinger type stationary equations with very singular potentials without prescribing boundary conditions and some applications”. *Differential Equations & Applications* 10.1 (2018), pp. 47–74. arXiv: 1710.06679

Optimal set of data f for the RFL

For the RFL we have $s = \gamma$ and

$$G(1) \asymp \delta^s.$$

Hence

$$G : L^1(\Omega, \delta^s) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega).$$

and

$$0 \leq f \notin L^1(\Omega, \delta^s) \implies G(f) \equiv +\infty.$$

Weak dual solutions

In order for

$$u = G(f - Vu) \quad (\text{P}_V\text{-D})$$

we will require

$$Vu\delta^s \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (\text{P}_V\text{-D-b})$$

Let us look at this in W-D:

$$\int_{\Omega} u\psi + \int_{\Omega} VuG(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} fG(\psi).$$

Consider $f \geq 0$. Then $u \geq 0$ hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |u| + \int_{\Omega} V|u|G(1) = \int_{\Omega} fG(1).$$

Therefore, since $G(1) \asymp \delta^s$

$$\int_{\Omega} Vu\delta^s \leq \int_{\Omega} f\delta^s.$$

For f changing sign

$$\int_{\Omega} V|u|\delta^s \leq \int_{\Omega} |f|\delta^s.$$

Approximation

Assume $V \in L^1_{loc}$.

Going back to $u_{k,m} = G_{V_k}(f_m)$ with $f_m \geq 0$:

As $V_k \nearrow V \implies 0 \leq u_{k,m} \searrow u_m$ in L^1 .

We have, taking $\psi = 1$.

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{k,m} + \int_{\Omega} V_k u_{k,m} \delta^s \leq C \int_{\Omega} f_m \delta^s. \quad (35)$$

Also

$$V_k u_{k,m} \leq \underbrace{V}_{L^1_{loc}} \underbrace{G(f_m)}_{L^\infty} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$$

This implies, together with the a.e. convergence,

$$V_k u_{k,m} \rightarrow Vu_m \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega). \quad (36)$$

However, this does not seem to implies L^1 convergence. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} Vu_m \delta^s \leq \int_{\Omega} f_m \delta^s \quad (37)$$

Difficulties of the case $V \in L^1_{loc}$

We showed

$$V_k u_{k,m} \rightarrow Vu_m \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega). \quad (36)$$

We would need, in the weak formulation,

$$G(\psi) \in L^\infty_c(\Omega).$$

This does not happen for $\psi \in L^\infty$. We go back to the very weak formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{k,m} (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi + \int_{\Omega} V_k u_{k,m} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f_m \varphi$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}^s = \{\varphi \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega)\}$.

If we multiply by a cut-off function $\eta_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we get, formally

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{k,m} (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s (\eta_\varepsilon \varphi) + \int_{\Omega} V_k u_{k,m} \eta_\varepsilon \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f_m \eta_\varepsilon \varphi$$

Now, we do have

$$\int_{\Omega} V_k u_{k,m} \eta_\varepsilon \varphi \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} Vu_m \eta_\varepsilon \varphi$$

Approximation of test functions

Let η be a $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ function such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0, \\ 1 & t \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

We define the functions

$$\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \eta\left(\frac{\varphi_1(x) - \varepsilon^s}{\varepsilon^s}\right). \quad (39)$$

where φ_1 is the first eigenfunction of $(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s$. Notice that $\varphi_1 \asymp \delta^s$. We prove the following approximation result:

Lemma

For $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}^s$ we have that $\eta_\varepsilon \varphi \in \mathbb{X}^s \cap C_c(\Omega)$ and

$$\delta^s(-\Delta)^s(\varphi \eta_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \delta^s(-\Delta)^s \varphi \quad (40)$$

$$\frac{\varphi \eta_\varepsilon}{\delta^s} \rightharpoonup \frac{\varphi}{\delta^s} \quad (41)$$

Approximation of test functions

There exists a sequence

Lemma

For $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}^s$ we have that $\eta_\varepsilon \varphi \in \mathbb{X}^s \cap C_c(\Omega)$ and

$$\delta^s(-\Delta)^s(\varphi\eta_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \delta^s(-\Delta)^s\varphi \quad (40)$$

$$\frac{\varphi\eta_\varepsilon}{\delta^s} \rightharpoonup \frac{\varphi}{\delta^s} \quad (41)$$

in L^∞ -weak- \star as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We can now use

$$\int_{\Omega} \underbrace{V_k u_{k,m}}_{L^1_{loc}} \underbrace{\eta_\varepsilon \varphi}_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \xrightarrow{k} \int_{\Omega} V u_m \eta_\varepsilon \varphi$$

Since $u_{k,m}/\delta^s \leq G(f_m)/\delta^s \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{k,m}}{\delta^s} \delta^s(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s(\varphi\eta_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{k} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_m}{\delta^s} \delta^s(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s(\eta_\varepsilon\varphi) \quad (42)$$

Since $u_{k,m}/\delta^s \leq G(f_m)/\delta^s \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{k,m}}{\delta^s} \delta^s (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s (\varphi \eta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_m}{\delta^s} \delta^s (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi \quad (43)$$

Existence for $(f, V) \in L^\infty \times (L^1_{loc})_+$

Theorem

Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $0 \leq V \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a unique $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $Vu\delta^s \in L^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi + \int_{\Omega} Vu\varphi = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{X}^s.$$

Proof.

We have $u_k = G_{V_k}(f)$ and using η_ε as a test function we get:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_k}{\delta^s} \delta^s (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s (\eta_\varepsilon \varphi) + \int_{\Omega} V_k u_k \delta^s \frac{\eta_\varepsilon \varphi}{\delta^s} = \int_{\Omega} f \eta_\varepsilon \varphi$$

As $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $u_k \searrow u \leq G(f)$. Hence $u/\delta^s \leq G(f)/\delta^s \in L^\infty$. As above

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{\delta^s} \delta^s (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s (\eta_\varepsilon \varphi) + \int_{\Omega} Vu\delta^s \frac{\eta_\varepsilon \varphi}{\delta^s} = \int_{\Omega} f \eta_\varepsilon \varphi$$

Again, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{\delta^s} \delta^s (-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi + \int_{\Omega} Vu\delta^s \frac{\eta_\varepsilon \varphi}{\delta^s} = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi.$$

Existence for $(f, V) \in L^1(\Omega, \delta^s) \times (L^1_{loc})_+$

Theorem

Let $f \in L^1(\Omega, \delta^s)$ and $0 \leq V \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a unique solution of

$$\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi + \int_{\Omega} Vu\varphi = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{X}^s.$$

Proof.

Letting $u_m = G_V(f_m)$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_m(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi + \int_{\Omega} Vu_m \delta^s \frac{\varphi}{\delta^s} = \int_{\Omega} f_m \delta^s \frac{\varphi}{\delta^s}.$$

As

$$f_m \nearrow f \implies u_m \nearrow u \implies Vu_m \delta^s \nearrow \underbrace{Vu \delta^s}_{L^1} \text{ a.e.} \xrightarrow{\text{MCT}} Vu_m \delta^s \nearrow Vu \delta^s \text{ in } L^1$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)_{\text{RFL}}^s \varphi + \int_{\Omega} Vu \delta^s \frac{\varphi}{\delta^s} = \int_{\Omega} f \delta^s \frac{\varphi}{\delta^s}.$$



A comment on boundary behaviour

When $V \geq C_V \delta^{-2+\varepsilon}$ then solutions are flatter than the usual estimate:

Theorem

Let $0 < \varepsilon < s$, $0 \leq f \in L^\infty$, $V(x) \geq C_V \delta(x)^{-2s} \geq 0$ with $C_V > -\gamma_{s+\varepsilon}$.
Then,

$$\frac{u}{\delta^{s+\varepsilon}} \in L^\infty(\Omega). \quad (44)$$

This means that

$$\frac{u}{\delta^s}(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \partial\Omega. \quad (45)$$

Singular potential give flatter boundary behaviour.

Thank you for you attention.

References I



M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, and J. L. Vázquez. “Sharp boundary behaviour of solutions to semilinear nonlocal elliptic equations”. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 57.2 (2018), pp. 1–34.



Z. Q. Chen, P. Kim, and R. Song. “Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes”. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 146.3 (2009), pp. 361–399.



L. A. Caffarelli and P. R. Stinga. “Fractional elliptic equations, Caccioppoli estimates and regularity”. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Analyse Non Linéaire* 33.3 (2016), pp. 767–807. arXiv: 1409.7721.



Z. Q. Chen and R. Song. “Estimates on Green functions and Poisson kernels for symmetric stable processes”. *Math. Ann* 312 (1998), pp. 465–501.



H. Chen and L. Véron. “Semilinear fractional elliptic equations involving measures”. *Journal of Differential Equations* 257.5 (2014), pp. 1457–1486. arXiv: 1305.0945.

References II



J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, and J.-M. Rakotoson. “Existence and uniqueness of solutions of Schrödinger type stationary equations with very singular potentials without prescribing boundary conditions and some applications”. *Differential Equations & Applications* 10.1 (2018), pp. 47–74. arXiv: 1710.06679.



J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, and J. L. Vázquez. “The fractional Schrödinger equation with general nonnegative potentials. The weighted space approach”. *Nonlinear Analysis* (2018), pp. 1–36. arXiv: 1804.08398.



J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, J.-M. Rakotoson, and R. Temam. “Linear diffusion with singular absorption potential and/or unbounded convective flow: The weighted space approach”. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 38.2 (2018), pp. 509–546. arXiv: 1710.07048.



E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci. “Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces”. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques* 136.5 (2012), pp. 521–573. arXiv: 1104.4345.



L. C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 1998.

References III



G. Grubb. “Fractional Laplacians on domains, a development of Hörmander’s theory of μ -transmission pseudodifferential operators”. *Advances in Mathematics* 268 (2015), pp. 478–528. arXiv: 1310.0951.



D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2001.



D. Gómez-Castro and J. L. Vázquez. “The fractional Schrödinger equation with singular potential and measure data”. (2018). arXiv: 1812.02120.



L. Orsina and A. C. Ponce. “On the nonexistence of Green’s function and failure of the strong maximum principle”. (2018). arXiv: 1808.07267.



X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra. “The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: Regularity up to the boundary”. *Journal des Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 101.3 (2014), pp. 275–302. arXiv: 1207.5985.